http://jaa.kbsaa.org

जर्नल ऑफ एकेडमिक एडवांसमेंट

JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC ADVANCEMENT

(Bi-Annual Peer Reviewed Refereed International Journal)

ISSN (Online): 2583-5203 ׀Volume: 5 ׀No.: 1 ׀June 2026

https://doi.org/10.58574/jaa.2026.v5.i1.18

Publication Impact Factor (I2OR): 4.530 (2026)

׀**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Fintech Regulation in India: Balancing Innovation, Consumer Protection and Financial Stability in the Age of Digital Finance

Dr. Amlan Chakraborty

Assistant Professor

Xavier Law School

St. Xavier's University, Kolkata

Corresponding Author: Dr. Amlan Chakraborty (amlan.chakraborty@sxuk.edu.in)

Received: May 14, 2026| **Revised:** June 14, 2026| **Accepted:** June 27, 2026

Index Items: Fintech Regulation | RBI | Digital Lending | Consumer Protection | SEBI | Digital Payments

ABSTRACT

The rapid expansion of fintech companies in India has transformed the financial services ecosystem by increasing access to digital payments, lending, insurance, and investment services. India's fintech revolution, driven by smartphone penetration, Unified Payments Interface (UPI), and Digital banking infrastructure has created unprecedented opportunities for financial inclusion and economic growth. However, recent regulatory interventions by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) particularly concerning digital lending and payment aggregators reveal growing concerns regarding governance failures, consumer protection, compliance risks and financial stability.

This paper critically examines the evolving regulatory framework governing fintech in India and analyses the role of institutions such as the Reserve Bank of India and the Securities and Exchange Board of India in balancing innovation with consumer protection and financial stability. The study focuses on key legal issues including regulatory arbitrage, digital lending practices, data privacy concerns, cybersecurity risks, algorithmic decision-making and accountability within platform-based financial ecosystems. Particular attention is given to the legal implications of RBI's Digital Lending Guidelines, payment aggregator regulations and increasing supervisory intervention in fintech operations.

This study argues that while fintech innovation contributes significantly to economic growth and financial inclusion, inadequate governance and fragmented regulation may expose consumers and financial markets to serious risks. The paper concludes that India requires a coordinated, technology-sensitive and principle-based regulatory framework capable of promoting responsible innovation while simultaneously safeguarding transparency, accountability, and financial stability in the digital economy.

1 INTRODUCTION

The financial services landscape of India has undergone a huge change over the past decade due to fintech revolution of unprecedented scale and speed. Owing to the confluence of widespread smartphone adoption, affordable data and top-class public digital infrastructure notably the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), the country has seen a dramatic shift towards digital finance. (NITI Aayog, 2020). With over 22.6 billion transactions by UPI processing in a single month as of early 2026, the scope of this digital adoption is colossal creating unmatched opportunities for financial inclusion, economic development, and increased efficiency. (ANI (2026)). Fintech platforms have quickly widened access to a suite of financial products, such as digital payments, credit, insurance, and investment related services reaching millions of people who were earlier underserved citizens.

However, this colossal development has not been without notable challenges. The very fast and disruptive nature of fintech innovation have surpassed traditional regulatory frameworks, thus giving rise to concerns among regulators and policy-makers. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), and the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) are struggling with a wide range of emergent risks. (RBI, 2022) These include failure of governance within fintech firms, predatory practices in digital lending, systemic threats in crucial payment infrastructures, and risks to data privacy and security of consumers. (Mathew, 2024).

This paper examines critically the changing and fragmented regulatory framework regulating fintech in India. It delves into the important legal and regulatory loopholes that define this new age of digital finance, such as regulatory arbitrage in fintech-NBFC partnerships, the adequacy of consumer protection in virtual lending, the implementation of online consent under new data protection laws, the challenges of AI-driven algorithmic decision-making, and the systemic threats present in highly concentrated online payment systems. (Press Information Bureau, 2025). Through a thorough analysis of current regulatory interventions, such as the RBI's Digital Lending Guidelines and the Master Directions for Payment Aggregators, this paper analyses the effectiveness of India's present approach. (Reserve Bank of India (2025)). It argues that though fintech innovation is a robust engine for progress, its potential can be sustainably realized only through a coordinated, technology-sensitive, and principle-based regulatory framework that ensures transparency, liability and stability in the virtual economy.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

India's fintech ecosystem's regulatory and academic discourse has rapidly evolved, reflecting the sector's dynamic development. A qualitative review of the existing literature showcases many thematic areas of focus. A large body of work, mainly from legal practitioners and policy analysts, has studied the Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) interferences in virtual lending. This analysis extensively cover the landmark 'Guidelines on Digital Lending' of September 2022, which sought to prevent predatory practices by re-asserting the supremacy of regulated entities (REs) like banks and NBFCs. (Press Information Bureau, 2026) The literature elaborates how these guidelines shifted liability, mandated transparency through Key Fact Statements (KFS), and reduced fund flow through Lending Service Providers (LSPs). Subsequent RBI FAQs and the operationalization of a public directory of Digital Lending Apps (DLAs) in 2025 are seen as key steps in implementing this framework. (Press Information Bureau, 2025)

The regulation of payment systems has been another major area of focus. The literature traces the development from the initial PA/PG guidelines of 2020 to the comprehensive RBI Master Direction on Regulation of Payment Aggregators of September 2025. (RBI, 2025) As per commentators these directions show tightening of the regime, introducing stringent net-worth, governance, merchant due diligence, and escrow account management requirements. (Castler, 2025).

A third important stream of literature is data governance under the landmark judgment of the Honourable Supreme Court of India in *K.S. Puttaswamy V. Union of India*, Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act, 2023 and its subsequent Rules as notified in November 2025. Scholarly articles and legal briefings have examined the Act's important principles such as purpose limitation, minimization of data, and stringent notice and consent requirements and their ample impacts on fintechs, which are important data fiduciaries. (Press Information Bureau, 2025)

Lastly, emerging literature points toward the primary stage of AI regulation in India. This body of work, along with studies on the systemic threats of concentrated payment infrastructures like UPI and the constant challenges of regulatory arbitrage in NBFC-fintech partnerships, gives a comprehensive background for this paper's critical analysis.

3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS:

The research questions in this paper have addressed an analysis of the evolving legal framework governing the fintech sector in India. The research questions attempt to evaluating the delicate balance the regulators in India thereby striking balance between increasing innovation, ensuring consumer protection and maintaining overall financial stability.

The study seeks to answer the following questions:

1. How far have the Reserve Bank of India's recent regulatory measures, particularly the Digital Lending Guidelines (2022) and the Master Directions for Payment Aggregators (2025), succeeded in promoting responsible fintech innovation while protecting consumers from emerging risks?
2. Do existing NBFC–Fintech Partnership models, including co-lending arrangements, create opportunities for regulatory arbitrage? If so, how effectively do the current legal and regulatory frameworks address issues relating to contractual rights, pricing, and liability?
3. Is the existing legal framework governing digital consent adequate for fintech transactions? How do the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, the Information Technology Act, 2000, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 interact in determining the validity and enforceability of digital consent?
4. Does the present legal framework provide adequate safeguards against the misuse of personal financial data and cybersecurity breaches?

4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present research has adopted a qualitative doctrinal research methodology basing upon comprehensive review of primary and secondary legal sources such as statutes, regulations, case laws, circulars and practitioner analyses This study has critically analysed statutes, regulatory policies and scholarly literature to evaluate the evolution of fintech regulation in India.

Further the time period within which this research has focussed is between 2022 to 2026 as this during this time most of the regulations were introduced in India and many notable developments have taken place.

The primary sources forming part of this research include:

1. Statutory Law: A detailed examination is made regarding the key legislative enactments including the Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act, 2023, the Information Technology Act, 2000, the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
2. Regulatory Documents: An in-depth analysis is made pertaining to the binding circulars, master directions, and guidelines issued by the financial regulators. This includes the RBI's 'Guidelines on Digital Lending' (September 2022), 'Master Direction – Regulation of Payment Aggregators' (September 2025) and associated FAQs and clarifications. (RBI, 2025) It additionally includes relevant circulars and consultation papers from the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) concerning algorithmic trading and AI governance.
   The secondary sources which have been used to supplement the primary materials consist of:
3. Official Reports and Publications: These consist of reports from the regulatory bodies such as RBI's Financial Stability Reports, Reports of the working groups (such as the Working Group on Digital Lending), and the statistical data published by the

RBI and the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI).

4. Academic and Scholarly Literature: A comprehensive qualitative review has been made of the peer-reviewed journal articles, academic papers, and books focusing on banking law, technology law, data privacy, and financial regulation in India.
5. Practitioner and Industry Analysis: A comprehensive qualitative has been made of the white papers, legal briefings and the analytical reports from law firms, policy think tanks and industry associations which provide expert commentary on the latest regulatory developments pertaining to fintech regulation in India. (Bhatt, 2025)

The analytical approach in the research paper has synthesized these diverse sources in identify the key legal principles, tracing the evolution of the regulatory policy and thereafter critically evaluating the effectiveness of current legal framework, secondary literature, regulatory reports, industry publications and practitioner commentary.

Temporal Scope: The current study adopts 2022–2026 as the time period as this marks a substantial phase of the regulatory reform in India's digital lending sector. Majority of the developments including the RBI's Digital Lending Guidelines (2022), the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 and the subsequent regulatory directions concerning fintech governance and consumer protection came during this time period. Accordingly, the research limits the analysis to this period ensuring that the study captures the most relevant and contemporary regulatory developments

5 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis in this research paper have addressed all the four research questions which have been mentioned previously. The discussion on each of these research questions have been provided below:

I. RBI Regulatory Measures : Promoting Fintech Innovation and Balancing Consumer Protection

The Reserve Bank of India’s (RBI) Digital Lending Guidelines which were issued in September of 2022 represent a major regulatory reset for the digital lending ecosystem in India. These guidelines have reshaped the business models by placing the onus of regulatory compliance on Regulated Entities (REs) such as the banks and NBFCs which in effect have curtailed the operational autonomy previously enjoyed by the Lending Service Providers (LSPs). (RBI, 2023) A critical analysis of the secondary sources such as the guidelines suggest that the core obligations like written outsourcing contracts prohibition of the LSPs from handling the flow of funds and requiring them to engage in direct loan disbursal and repayment between the RE and the borrower's bank account seem to have reduced the prevalence of 'rent-a-license' model which was prevalent in the fintech sector in India.

This seems to have started the re-engineering of LSP business models shifting them from the entities which have controlled the lending lifecycle to the technology service providers which have compensated them through the fees which are paid directly by the REs. For the consumers impact has been largely positive. There has been an indication of the inclusion of the mandatory provisions of the standardized Key Fact Statement (KFS) before the loan execution which must include the Annual Percentage Rate (APR) which has significantly enhanced the pricing transparency. These measures along with the introduction of the cooling-off period and clear rules on grievance redressal are aimed at strengthening the consumer protection in India. There has also been operationalization of the public directory of Digital Lending Apps (DLAs) by the RBI in 2025-2026 which is further aiming to empower the consumers to verify the legitimacy of the lending platforms. (PIB, 2026)

Despite these stringent measures there have also been compliance gaps and certain unintended consequences persist. The current framework has given rise to important concerns about 'shadow pricing,' wherein the fees are re-labelled or bundled into upfront charges by the REs in compensating the LSPs thereby potentially obscuring the true cost of credit being made available to customers. Practitioner reports also indicate that additional legal accountability for the credit decisions seems to be resting with REs which in turn continue to rely heavily on LSP-developed algorithms for underwriting and thereby creating a potential disconnect between legal liability and the daily operational decision-making. RBI has provided conditional permission for the First Loss Default Guarantee (FLDG) arrangements in June 2023, while acknowledging the market realities introducing new complexities around the transfer of risk, capital adequacy and the potential moral hazard which requires vigilant supervision. (Ramdas et al., 2023)

II. NBFC-Fintech Partnership Models: Issues within current regulatory framework

India has seen a partnership model between the Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) and the fintech platforms which are central to the country's digital lending ecosystem, but they also represent an area of regulatory arbitrage. A critical analysis of this framework which ranges from referral models to integrated co-lending, embedded finance and First Loss Default Guarantee (FLDG) arrangements have revealed persistent tensions between the allocation of economic function and regulatory liability.

The RBI in 2022 had come out with the Digital Lending Guidelines (DLG) which have firmly placed the ultimate accountability on the regulated NBFC and even after that the fintech platforms often continue retaining de facto control over the critical aspects of the lending process. (RBI, 2023) In several platform-based models, the fintechs are designed using underwriting algorithms which control the customer-facing user interface and influence the pricing through many dynamic offers as well a merchant subvention. These NBFCs while being the lender-on-record may effectively act as the balance sheet provider with limited operational involvements in decision making with respect to credit. The RBI in several instances attempted to curb the overt forms of arbitrage such as LSPs role in handling funds. It also published 2023 guidelines on FLDG which addressed an important concern by capping the guarantees at 5% of the loan portfolio and requiring them to be in a funded form (e.g., cash or bank guarantee), thus preventing the use of unfunded 'synthetic' guarantees to transfer risk off the NBFC's books. However, the secondary sources suggest that the arbitrage continues in many subtler forms such as platform-controlled pricing which can be obscured through complex fee structures or UI nudges, and the opacity of proprietary credit models makes it difficult for NBFCs to conduct robust, independent validation.

Table A: Regulatory Interventions 2022–2026

Instrument	Year	Core obligations	Key numbers	Target entities	Immediate market effects
RBI Digital Lending Guidelines	2022	RE liability; KFS with APR; RE–borrower fund flow; LSP outsourcing controls	KFS standardized; DLA directory live 2025–26	Banks/NBFCs; LSPs	Rent-a-license reduced; transparency up
DLG/FLDG Guidance	2023	Allows DLG with caps and funded guarantees	FLDG capped at 5%	REs; LSPs/fintechs	Risk-sharing formalized; moral hazard mitigated
PA Master Directions	2025	Net-worth, escrow, T+1 settlement, FIU-IND, DD	₹15→₹25 crore; T+1	Non-bank PAs; merchants	Float seems to be reduced; consolidation likely
DPDP Act + Rules	2023/2025	Consent, purpose limitation, security safeguards	Penalties up to ₹250 crore	Data Fiduciaries (incl. fintechs)	Consent was redesigned; security investment surge

The table above illustrates the rapid succession of regulatory instruments deployed to formalize the fintech sector, shifting the ecosystem from permissive growth to strict accountability.

I. Digital Consent within the existing regulatory framework: The New Consent Architecture

The legal architecture pertaining to digital consent in India's fintech ecosystem lies in the Supreme Court's landmark decision in *K.S. Puttaswamy Vs. Union of India* (2017) wherein the nine-judge constitutional bench recognized the right to privacy as an intrinsic component of fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 14,19 and 21 of the Indian Constitution. The constitutional framework in the statutes which came later contained compliances which were provided in the judgment. The Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act, 2023 and its subsequent rules which were notified on November 13, 2025 have established a comprehensive legal framework which have significantly strengthened the data governance within the fintech sector in India.(PIB, 2025) An analysis of the legal framework has showed that the Act which has imposed the stringent obligations on the fintech companies which are acting as 'Data Fiduciaries.' Key duties imposed upon them include providing of clear, itemized notice before or at the time of consent and ensuring that consent itself is free, specific-informed as well as unambiguous signified by clear affirmative action on the part of the user. The study seems to prohibit the pre-ticked boxes and bundled consent as practices which were previously common with users.

The legislation's principle of 'purpose limitation' restricts the data processing to the specific purpose for which consent was obtained, while the 'data minimization' limits the collection to only what is necessary. The introduction of substantial penalties with the maximum of Rupees 250 crore for failing to implement reasonable security safeguards creating powerful financial deterrent against the data mismanagement and breaches. Additionally, the critical aspect for the fintech sector in Indian is regarding the enforceability of digital consent. The DPDP Act,2023 has placed the burden of proof on the Data Fiduciary in order to demonstrate that the consent was validly obtained. This seems to have strengthened the legal standing of properly acquired digital consent for the data processing and its enforceability within the contract being a more nuanced issue. This contractual validity still rests upon on the principles of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 which required free and uncoerced agreement. The use of 'dark patterns' which includes the deceptive UI/UX designs manipulate the users into giving consent which would likely render the consent invalid under both the DPDP Act's 'unambiguous' standard and the 'free consent' requirement under the Contract Act. Therefore, the fintechs in India need to design their consent not just for DPDP compliance but also in order to withstand judicial scrutiny regarding fairness and transparency in contract formation.

II. Regulatory framework for Cybersecurity & Enforcement: Maturing Deterrence

A comprehensive qualitative review of the enforcement actions and security incidents in India's fintech sector between 2022 and 2026 and its relevance as highlighted earlier in the study showcases persistent vulnerabilities and an evolving regulatory response. As noted in the earlier parts of this paper this is the time when most of the regulatory developments have been introduced and taken place in India.

Common issues which captured fintech sector included data breaches caused by poor cloud security, weaknesses in APIs, inadequate oversight of third-party vendors, and the continued spread of fraudulent lending applications. These illegal apps have often relied on weak verification processes and predatory lending practices, causing significant harm to consumers.

In response, the RBI has introduced several measures to strengthen oversight. The creation of the Digital Lending Apps (DLA) directory has improved transparency, while regulated entities such as banks and NBFCs have faced supervisory action and monetary penalties for compliance failures. Nevertheless, enforcement remains challenging, particularly against unregulated or overseas operators that fall outside the direct reach of Indian regulators. In many cases, authorities have relied on app-store removals and inter-agency cooperation, which are largely reactive measures.

Another concern is the limited public visibility of major penalties imposed on fintech firms for cybersecurity or data-protection failures. This may weaken the deterrent effect of enforcement. However, the implementation of the Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act is expected to strengthen accountability. With the Data Protection Board empowered to impose substantial penalties for serious violations, fintech companies are likely to face greater pressure to improve their security practices and data-governance standards.

6 RESULTS OF THE STUDY

India's fintech regulatory landscape underwent a major transformation between 2022 and 2026. Regulators moved away from a relatively flexible approach and adopted a framework that places greater emphasis on accountability, consumer protection, and institutional responsibility.

A key development was the RBI's effort to ensure that regulated entities remain accountable for activities carried out through fintech partners. The Digital Lending Guidelines of 2022 required greater transparency through standardized disclosures, direct fund transfers between lenders and borrowers, and stronger grievance-redressal mechanisms. Similarly, the Payment Aggregator framework introduced stricter licensing, governance, and capital requirements, bringing greater oversight to the digital payments sector.

Enforcement efforts also intensified. Thousands of unauthorized lending applications were removed, and the RBI introduced a public directory of approved digital lending apps. The judgment of Supreme Court of India in Justice K.S. Puttaswamy Vs. UOI and subsequent statutes like the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 along with RBI Master Guidelines of 2022 and 2025 have further strengthened the regulatory framework by establishing stricter obligations for data protection and imposing significant penalties for non-compliance.

Despite these improvements, several challenges remain. Fintech platforms continue to influence consumer choices through pricing strategies and digital interfaces that may obscure the true cost of credit. In addition, many regulated entities rely heavily on proprietary algorithms developed by fintech partners, raising concerns about transparency, bias, and accountability in lending decisions.

The RBI's decision to permit First Loss Default Guarantee (FLDG) arrangements sought to balance innovation with prudential safeguards. While limits on such arrangements have reduced certain risks, ongoing supervision remains necessary to prevent excessive risk transfer.

Overall, recent reforms have strengthened consumer protection and reduced some of the most problematic practices in digital lending and payments. However, higher compliance costs may encourage market consolidation and affect credit access for smaller borrowers.

In summary, India's fintech regulatory framework has become more robust and consumer-focused. While significant progress has been made, continued regulatory adaptation will be necessary to address emerging challenges relating to technology, data governance, competition, and financial stability.

CONCLUSION

The rapid growth of digital finance in India has created new opportunities for financial inclusion, innovation, and economic development. At the same time, it has exposed consumers and the financial system to new risks. This study shows that between 2022 and 2026, Indian regulators adopted a more proactive approach to address these challenges. Measures such as RBI’s Master Guidelines on the Digital Lending Guidelines, the Payment Aggregator framework, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 have strengthened consumer protection, improved transparency, and placed greater responsibility on regulated entities.

Despite these advances, important challenges remain. Fintech firms continue to use complex pricing structures and algorithmic decision-making processes that are often difficult for consumers and regulators to understand. In addition, the growing concentration of activity within critical platforms such as UPI raises concerns about operational resilience and systemic risk.

The study therefore concludes that India needs a more coordinated and future-oriented regulatory framework.

Greater cooperation between the RBI, SEBI, and the Data Protection Board is essential to ensure consistent oversight. Regulation must also keep pace with emerging technologies, particularly artificial intelligence, while preserving innovation. In the long run, the regulatory framework should be guided by the principles of fairness, transparency, accountability, and consumer protection. Such an approach will help India build a digital financial ecosystem that is innovative, secure, and sustainable.

REFERENCES

ANI. (2026, April 2). *UPI records 22.64 billion transactions in March 2026, says Department of Financial Services*. https://aninews.in/news/business/upi-records-2264-billion-transactions-in-march-2026-says-department-of-financial-services20260402142807/

Bhatt, S. (2025, September 16). *RBI issues new master directions for payment aggregators, rules take effect immediately*. Outlook Business. https://www.outlookbusiness.com/news/rbi-issues-new-master-directions-for-payment-aggregators-rules-take-effect-immediately

Enterslice. (2025). *RBI 2025 guidelines for payment aggregators*. https://enterslice.com/learning/rbi-2025-guidelines-for-payment-aggregators/

Janardhanan, B., Krensel, T. M., & Warren, S. (2025, November 25). *India passes the Digital Personal Data Protection Rules, ushering in a new digital age in India*. Privacy World. https://www.privacyworld.blog/2025/11/india-passes-the-digital-personal-data-protection-rules-ushering-in-a-new-digital-age-in-india/

Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. v. Union of India & Ors. (2017). *10 Supreme Court Cases 1* (Supreme Court of India)

Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. v. Union of India & Ors., (2017) 10 SCC 1 (Supreme Court of India).

Mathew, G. (2024, October 18). Usurious pricing by NBFCs, apps: Why the RBI acted against 4 entities and how borrowers end up paying excessive interest. The Indian Express. https://indianexpress.com/article/business/rbi-nbfc-loan-apps-excessive-interest-9626739/

NITI Aayog. (2020). *Data empowerment and protection architecture: Draft for discussion*. https://www.niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2020-09/DEPA-Book.pdf

Press Information Bureau. (2025, March 25). *Government and RBI strengthen measures against fraudulent loan apps*. Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2241255

Press Information Bureau. (2025, November 14). *Government notifies DPDP Rules to empower citizens and protect privacy*.

Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2190014

Press Information Bureau. (2025, November 17). *DPDP Rules, 2025 notified: A citizen-centric framework for privacy protection and responsible data use*. Government of India. https://static.pib.gov.in/WriteReadData/specificdocs/documents/2025/nov/doc20251117695301.pdf

Press Information Bureau. (2025, November 17). *DPDP Rules, 2025 notified: A citizen-centric framework for privacy protection and responsible data use*. Government of India. https://static.pib.gov.in/WriteReadData/specificdocs/documents/2025/nov/doc20251117695301.pdf

Press Information Bureau. (2026, March 17). *Government and RBI strengthen measures against fraudulent loan apps*. Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2241255

Press Information Bureau. (2026, March 17). *Government and RBI strengthen measures against fraudulent loan apps*. Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2241255

Press Information Bureau. (2026, March 17). *Government and RBI strengthen measures against fraudulent loan apps*. Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2241255

Ramdas, P., Jha, S., Abhyankar, S., Mishra, S., & Sahai, I. (2023, June). *Demystifying the FLDG regime*. Khaitan & Co. https://www.khaitanco.com/sites/default/files/2023-06/Demystifying%20the%20FLDG%20regime_0.pdf

Reserve Bank of India. (2020, March 17). *Guidelines on regulation of payment aggregators and payment gateways* (DPSS.CO.PD No. 1810/02.14.008/2019-20). https://www.rbi.org.in/scripts/NotificationUser.aspx?Id=12382

Reserve Bank of India. (2022, September 2). Guidelines on digital lending (Notification No. RBI/2022-23/111). https://www.rbi.org.in/scripts/NotificationUser.aspx?Id=12848

Reserve Bank of India. (2023, February 14). *Guidelines on digital lending: Frequently asked questions*. https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/english/scripts/FAQs.aspx?Id=3413

Reserve Bank of India. (2023, February 14). *Guidelines on digital lending: Frequently asked questions*. https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/english/scripts/FAQs.aspx?Id=3413 Reserve

Bank of India. (2023, February 14). *Guidelines on digital lending: Frequently asked questions*. https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/english/scripts/FAQs.aspx?Id=3413

Reserve Bank of India. (2024, November 5). *Guidelines on Default Loss Guarantee (DLG) in digital lending: Frequently asked questions*. https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/english/scripts/FAQs.aspx?Id=3592

Reserve Bank of India. (2025). *Master Directions framework for regulated entities.* https://www.rbi.org.in/

Reserve Bank of India. (2025, September 15). *Reserve Bank of India (Regulation of Payment Aggregators) Directions, 2025.* https://www.rbi.org.in/